

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,238	12/31/2003	Richard Paul Lewis	19507	8843
M. Carley P.	7590 11/02/2007		EXAMINER	
Mr. Stephen E. Bondura Dority & Manning, P.A.			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
P.O. Box 1449 Greenville, SC 29602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			WAII DATE	DELIVERY MODE
1			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	11/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	10/750,238	LEWIS ET AL.
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott Haugland	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
1. The Notice of A ppeal filed on is not	acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal	was not submitted. See 37 C	CFR 41.20(b)(1).
(c) the appeal fee received on wa	s not timely filed.	
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insuffi	cient. The appeal fee require	d by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 3	37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no	claim has been twice rejected.
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was	mailed by the Office on	
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acc	ceptable for the reason(s) ind	licated below:
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely.	See 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has	s not been submitted. See 3	7 CFR 41.20(b)(2).
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is in	nsufficient. The brief fee req	uired by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dismisse brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1) See 37 CFR 41.37(e).		
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSE	ED because:	
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as period for obtaining an extension of t	required under 37 CFR 41.2 ime to file the brief under 37	0(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the CFR 1.136(a) has expired.
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the CFR 1.136(a) has expired.	period for obtaining an exten	sion of time to file the brief under 37
(c) a Request for Continued Examination	n (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114	was filed on
(d)		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this	s application:	•
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no a	allowed claims.	

(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.

(c) is before the examiner for consideration.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Application No. 10/750,238

Continuation of 3. (d) Other: On 4/16/07, Appellant was notified that the ground of rejection section did not state a grounds of rejection for each claim on appeal (See First Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief) and was given a 1 month, extendable up to 6 month, time period to submit a reply. Appellant submitted a revised brief on 4/19/07, which was again found defective for the same reason (See Second Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief f 7/26/07). Appellant was informed that the time period set in the 4/16/07 notification was still running. Appellant submitted another revised appeal brief on 8/9/07, which again did not state a grounds of rejection in section 6 for each claim on appeal. For example, in section 3 appellant states, "Applicants appeal the final rejection of claims 1-11, 14-23, and 25-40." But in section 6, claims 10 and 11 do not appear. Claims 10 and 11 were rejected in the final rejection under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Formon et al. in view of Yamakawa et al. and further in view of Narita et al. However, this ground of rejection also does not appear in section 6. The time period for reply set in the 4/16/07 notification has expired and no extensions of time are available, therefore appeal is dismissed (37 CFR 41.37(d)). Since none of the claims are allowable, the application is abandoned.